

Positive definite functions on complex spheres and their walks through dimensions

Eugenio Massa, Ana P. Peron

Departamento de Matemática, ICMC-USP - São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil.

e-mails: eug.massa@gmail.com, apperon@icmc.usp.br

Emilio Porcu

Department of Mathematics, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa Maria, Avenida España 1680, Valparaíso, 230123, Chile.

e-mail: emilio.porcu@usm.cl

Abstract

We provide walks through dimensions for isotropic positive definite functions defined over complex spheres. We find that the analogues of Montée and Descente operators as proposed by Beatson and zu Castell [3] on the basis of the original Matheron [24] operator, allow for similar walks through dimensions. We show that the Montée operators also preserve, up to a constant, the strict positive definiteness. For the Descente operators, we show that strict positive definiteness is preserved under some additional conditions, but we provide counterexamples showing that this is not true in general. We also provide a list of parametric families of (strictly) positive definite functions over complex spheres, which are important for several applications.

MSC: 42A82; 42C10; 42C05; 30E10; 62M30

Keywords: Descente, Disk Polynomials, Montée, Positive Definite Functions.

1 Introduction and main results

Positive definite functions have a long history which can be traced back to papers by Carathéodory, Herglotz, Bernstein and Matthias, culminating in Bochner's theorem from 1932–1933. See Berg [6] for details. In the last twenty years several results related to this topic were obtained in fields as diverse as mathematical analysis, numerical analysis, potential theory, probability theory and geostatistics: we refer the reader to the surveys in Schaback [36, 35], Berg [6] and Fasshauer [14] for a complete list of references in this direction.

Positive definite radial functions have been known since the two seminal papers by Schoenberg (Schoenberg [39, 40]). The former is devoted to radially symmetric functions depending on the Euclidean distance, and the latter to isotropic functions on unit spheres S^d of \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . Literature on radially symmetric functions on Euclidean spaces has been especially fervent. In his essay devoted to the *clavier spherique*, Matheron [24] proposed operators called *Montée* and *Descente* that preserve the property of positive definiteness but changing the dimension of the space initially considered. Such a property has been called *walk through dimensions*. It is worth noting that the walk through dimensions is achieved at the expense of modifying the differentiability at the origin of a given candidate function. Wendland [46] used the Montée operator with

a class of compactly supported radial basis functions, termed Wendland's functions after his works. Schaback [37] covered the missing cases of walks through dimensions. Porcu et al. [28] used a fractional version of the Montée operator to obtain generalized versions of Wendland's functions. For a reference on walks through dimensions in the geostatistical setting, the reader is referred to Gneiting [17] and to the more recent work of Porcu and Zastavnyi [29].

Positive definite functions as well as strictly positive definite functions in several contexts have been deeply studied by the mathematical analysis literature, and the reader is referred to the works by Menegatto et al. (see Chen et al. [11], Menegatto and Peron [26], and Guella et al. [19], and references therein). The use of positive definite functions on real spheres for geostatisticians has arrived recently, thanks to the survey by Gneiting [16] and the recent developments by Berg and Porcu [7] and Porcu et al. [30]. In particular, Berg and Porcu [7] characterized the class of the positive definite functions on the product of S^d with a locally compact group, extending the Schoenberg's class Ψ_d of the positive definite functions on S^d (Schoenberg [40]).

A continuous function $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class Ψ_d , if, and only if,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^d c_k(d, x), \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k^d < \infty, \quad a_k^d \geq 0, \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $c_k(d, \cdot)$ are the normalized Gegenbauer polynomials associated to the index d (see Szegő [45]). The coefficients in the above series are called *d-Schoenberg coefficients*. On the other hand, the subclass Ψ_d^+ of Ψ_d of the strict positive definite functions on S^d , $d \geq 2$, was characterized by Chen et al. [11]: $f \in \Psi_d^+$ if, and only if, the set $\{k : a_k^d > 0\}$ contains infinitely many odd and infinitely many even integers.

The class Ψ_d has received special interest in the last twenty years, while walks through dimensions for positive definite functions on real spheres have been studied in the recent tour de force by Beatson and zu Castell [3, 4]. In particular, in the preprint Beatson and zu Castell [3] the authors proved that for $d \geq 2$:

- (i) If $f \in \Psi_{d+2}$, then there exists a constant c such that $c + If \in \Psi_d$.
- (ii) If $f \in \Psi_{d+2}^+$, then there exists a constant c such that $c + If \in \Psi_d^+$.
- (iii) If $f \in \Psi_{d+2}$, $f \geq 0$ and all $(d+2)$ -Schoenberg coefficients are positive, then $If \in \Psi_d$ and all its d -Schoenberg coefficients are positive.
- (iv) If $f \in \Psi_d$ and its derivative Df is continuous, then $Df \in \Psi_{d+2}$.
- (v) If $f \in \Psi_d^+$ and Df is continuous, then $Df \in \Psi_{d+2}^+$.

Here, when f is integrable in $[-1, 1]$, I is the operator given by

$$(If)(x) = \int_{-1}^x f(u) du, \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

Observe that the property of (strict) positive definiteness of f is preserved by the operators *Montée* I and *Descente* D .

In this paper, inspired by the work of Beatson and zu Castell [3], we study positive definite functions on complex unit spheres Ω_{2q} of \mathbb{C}^q . In particular, we provide walks through dimensions over complex spheres.

Below, we state our main results and we refer to Section 2 for the necessary background.

We shall denote the class of positive definite functions on Ω_{2q} by $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$. A characterization of such functions was proposed in Menegatto and Peron [26]. In particular (see Theorem 2.1), when a continuous function $f : B_2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$, an expansion similar to (1.1) exists, namely

$$f(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{q-2} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1], \quad (1.2)$$

where $B_2[0, 1] := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. We will call the coefficients $a_{m,n}^{q-2}$ as *(2q)-complex Schoenberg coefficients*.

In order to make the statements clear, it is convenient to introduce the Descente and Montée operators in the complex context.

Let $f : B_2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous derivable function. We define the operators

$$\mathcal{D}_z(f)(z) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(f)(z) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1].$$

For a function f being integrable on $B_2[0, 1]$, one can define the operators \mathcal{I}_γ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_\gamma$ through

$$\mathcal{I}_\gamma(f)(z) := \int_\gamma f(w) dw \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\gamma(f)(z) := \int_\gamma f(w) d\bar{w}, \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

where γ is a path in $B_2[0, 1]$ joining the origin to z . It is clear that without any additional hypothesis on f , the operators above can depend on γ .

If f admits a z -primitive F and a \bar{z} -primitive G in $B_2[0, 1]$, that is, $\mathcal{D}_z F = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} G = f$, then we can define the operators \mathcal{I} and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}(f)(z) := \mathcal{I}_\gamma(f)(z) = F(z) - F(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}}(f)(z) := \overline{\mathcal{I}}_\gamma(f)(z) = G(z) - G(0), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

that do not depend on γ . Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{D}_z(\mathcal{I}f) = f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(\overline{\mathcal{I}}f) = f. \quad (1.3)$$

It is also true that

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{D}_z(f))(z) = f(z) - f(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(f))(z) = f(z) - f(0), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1].$$

Also, writing $z = x + iy$, we can derive f with respect to x and y : $\mathcal{D}_x f$ and $\mathcal{D}_y f$, respectively, and it holds

$$2\mathcal{D}_z f = \mathcal{D}_x f + i\mathcal{D}_y f, \quad 2\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f = \mathcal{D}_x f - i\mathcal{D}_y f. \quad (1.4)$$

Our main results are related with walks through dimensions for Descente and Montée operators over complex spheres:

Theorem 1.1. Let $f : B_2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function with derivatives $\mathcal{D}_z f$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f$ being continuous in $B_2[0, 1]$.

- (i) If f belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$, then $\mathcal{D}_z f, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f$ and $\mathcal{D}_x f$ belong to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q+2})$.
- (ii) If f belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ and has all positive $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients, then $\mathcal{D}_z f, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f$ and $\mathcal{D}_x f$ belong to the class $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f : B_2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function admitting a z -primitive and a \bar{z} -primitive in $B_2[0, 1]$.

- (i) If f belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q+2})$, then there exist real constants c and C such that $c + \mathcal{I}f$ and $C + \bar{\mathcal{I}}f$ belong to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$.
- (ii) If f belongs to the class $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$, then there exist real constants c and C such that $c + \mathcal{I}f$ and $C + \bar{\mathcal{I}}f$ belong to the class $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$.

Observe that in Theorem 1.1-(ii) we assumed the additional condition that all $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients are positive. This condition can be weakened (see Remark 1.4 below), but not completely removed.

In fact, the following counterexamples show that the Descente operators over complex spheres do not preserve, in general, strict positive definiteness, in contrast to the real case of Beatson and zu Castell:

Counterexample 1.3. Let $q \geq 2$ be an integer.

- (i) If $f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m,0}^{q-2} R_{m,0}^{q-2}(z)$, where $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m,0}^{q-2} < \infty$ and $a_{m,0}^{q-2} > 0$ for all m , then $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\mathcal{D}_z f, \mathcal{D}_x f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$ but $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f \notin \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$.
- (ii) If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{0,n}^{q-2} R_{0,n}^{q-2}(z)$, where $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{0,n}^{q-2} < \infty$ and $a_{0,n}^{q-2} > 0$ for all n , then $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f, \mathcal{D}_x f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$ but $\mathcal{D}_z f \notin \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$.
- (iii) If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{0,n}^{q-2} R_{0,n}^{q-2}(z) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{m,0}^{q-2} R_{m,0}^{q-2}(z)$, where $a_{0,n}^{q-2}, a_{m,0}^{q-2} \geq 0$ for all m, n , and

$$a_{0,n}^{q-2} > 0 \iff n \in 5\mathbb{Z}_+ + 4,$$

$$a_{m,0}^{q-2} > 0 \iff m \in (5\mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}) \cup (5\mathbb{Z}_+ + 2) \cup (5\mathbb{Z}_+ + 3) \cup (5\mathbb{Z}_+ + 4),$$

then $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ but $\mathcal{D}_z f, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f, \mathcal{D}_x f \notin \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$.

Remark 1.4. In the real case, the condition that all d -Schoenberg coefficients are positive is satisfied by most of the functions in the class Ψ_d^+ which appear in applications such as in statistic and geostatistic.

In the complex case, among the examples that we provide in Subsection 2.1, only the exponential function satisfies this condition. On the other hand, the Aktaş-Taşdelen-Yavuz, Horn and Lauricella families, satisfy the following simple weaker condition, which is also sufficient to obtain the conclusion of Theorem 1.1-(ii):

- if $a_{m,n}^{q-2}$ are the $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients of f , then for some $c, d \in \mathbb{N}$, the set

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0, m, n \geq c\} \quad (1.5)$$

contains $(d + \mathbb{Z}_+)$ or $(-d - \mathbb{Z}_+)$.

In fact, the weakest possible condition to be used in Theorem 1.1-(ii) follows from Guella and Menegatto [18] and reads as follows:

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0, m, n \geq 1\} \cap (N\mathbb{Z} + j) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for every } N \geq 1, j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (1.6)$$

We will prove Theorem 1.1 with this last condition, since the previous ones are stronger.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we provide the necessary background about positive definite functions on complex spheres and we give a list of parametric families of these functions, which are of interest for both numerical analysis and geostatistical communities. Finally, in Section 3, we obtain all necessary technical lemmas, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, and we show the Counterexample 1.3.

2 The classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$: a brief survey

This section is largely expository and presents some basic facts and background needed for a self contained exposition.

For q being a positive integer greater or equal than 1, we denote by Ω_{2q} the unit sphere of \mathbb{C}^q and by $B_{2q}[0, 1] := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^q : |z| \leq 1\}$ the closed disk in \mathbb{C}^q . Also, we define the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_n := a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1)$, with $(a)_0 := 1$.

Let A be a nonempty set. A continuous mapping $K : A^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *positive definite* if and only if

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^l c_\mu \overline{c_\nu} K(\xi_\mu, \xi_\nu) \geq 0, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l\} \subset A$ and $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. If the inequality in (2.7) is strict when at least one c_μ is nonzero, then K is called *strictly positive definite*. For q a strictly positive integer, we define $A_q := \Omega_2$ when $q = 1$ and $A_q := B_2[0, 1]$ for $q > 1$. Throughout we shall work with the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ of continuous mappings $f : A_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the positive definite mapping $K : \Omega_{2q} \times \Omega_{2q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$K(\xi, \eta) = f(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle), \quad (\xi, \eta) \in \Omega_{2q} \times \Omega_{2q}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here the symbol $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{C}^q . We shall use the upper index $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ if the mapping K associated to f through (2.8) is strictly positive definite. Positive definite functions satisfying the identity above are called isotropic. The class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ is parenthetical to the class Ψ_d introduced by Schoenberg [40], and we refer the reader to the recent review in Gneiting [16] for a thorough description of the properties of this class. Further, the class Ψ_d represents the building block for extension on product spaces, and the reader is referred

to Berg and Porcu [7] as well as to Guella et al. [19] for recent efforts in this direction. The classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ are nested, with the following inclusion relation being strict:

$$\Psi(\Omega_4) \supset \Psi(\Omega_6) \supset \dots \supset \Psi(\Omega_\infty),$$

where Ω_∞ is the unit sphere in the Hilbert space $\ell_2(\mathbb{C})$. Analogous relations apply to $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$.

Observe that the class $\Psi(\Omega_2)$ is a different class and then it can not be added to the inclusions above (see Menegatto and Peron [26]). For this reason, in this work we always consider $q \geq 2$, actually the main purpose here is to study the walks through dimensions considering functions in the classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$.

Characterization theorems for the classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ are available in recent literature, and some ingredients are needed for a detailed exposition. We refer to Boyd and Raychowdhury [10], Dresler and Hrach [13], and Koornwinder [22, 23] for more information concerning this necessary basic theory.

The *disc polynomial* $R_{m,n}^\alpha$ of degree $m+n$ in x and y associated to a positive real number α was introduced by Koornwinder [22] as the polynomial given by

$$R_{m,n}^\alpha(z) := r^{|m-n|} e^{i(m-n)\theta} R_{\min\{m,n\}}^{(\alpha, |m-n|)}(2r^2 - 1), \quad z = re^{i\theta} = x + iy \in B_2[0, 1],$$

where $R_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is the usual Jacobi polynomial of degree k associated to the numbers $\alpha, \beta > -1$ and normalized by $R_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1) = 1$. Note that the mapping $R_{m,n}^\alpha$ is a polynomial of degrees m and n with respect to the arguments z and \bar{z} , respectively.

Let $d\nu_\alpha$ be the positive measure of total mass on $B_2[0, 1]$ given by

$$d\nu_\alpha(z) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{\pi} (1 - x^2 - y^2)^\alpha dx dy, \quad z = x + iy. \quad (2.9)$$

Due to the orthogonality relations for Jacobi polynomials, the set $\{R_{m,n}^\alpha : 0 \leq m, n < \infty\}$ forms a complete orthogonal system in $L^2(B_2[0, 1], d\nu_\alpha)$ with

$$\int_{B_2[0, 1]} R_{m,n}^\alpha(z) \overline{R_{k,l}^\alpha(z)} d\nu_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{h_{m,n}^\alpha} \delta_{m,k} \delta_{n,l}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$h_{m,n}^\alpha = \frac{m + n + \alpha + 1}{\alpha + 1} \binom{\alpha + m}{\alpha} \binom{\alpha + n}{\alpha}, \quad (2.11)$$

and $\delta_{n,l}$ defines the Kronecker delta. Thus, a function $f \in L^1(B_2[0, 1], \nu_\alpha)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, has an expansion in terms of disc polynomials $R_{m,n}^\alpha$ defined through

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^\alpha R_{m,n}^\alpha(z), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$a_{m,n}^\alpha = h_{m,n}^\alpha \int_{B_2[0, 1]} f(z) \overline{R_{m,n}^\alpha(z)} d\nu_\alpha(z). \quad (2.13)$$

Poisson-Szegö kernel was a fundamental tool for the proof of Theorem 2.1-(1) below: the characterization of the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$. We give here a brief presentation of it, since this kernel will also be used ahead. Poisson-Szegö kernel is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_q(r\xi, \eta) := \frac{1}{\omega_{2q}} \frac{(1-r^2)^q}{|1-r\langle\xi, \eta\rangle|^{2q}}, \quad r \in [0, 1), \quad \xi, \eta \in \Omega_{2q}, \quad (2.14)$$

where ω_{2q} is the total surface of Ω_{2q} . Folland [15] proved that it has an expansion in terms of disc polynomials as:

$$\mathcal{P}_q(r\xi, \eta) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{h_{m,n}^{q-2}}{\omega_{2q}} S_{m,n}^q(r) R_{m,n}^{q-2}(\langle\xi, \eta\rangle), \quad \xi, \eta \in \Omega_{2q}, \quad r \in [0, 1), \quad (2.15)$$

where $S_{m,n}^q(r) \geq 0$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} S_{m,n}^q(r) = 1$ and the series converges absolutely and uniformly for $\xi, \eta \in \Omega_{2q}$ and $0 \leq r \leq R$, for each $R < 1$.

Poisson-Szegö kernel also appears in the solution of the following Dirichlet problem: given a continuous function $h : \Omega_{2q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a continuous function $u : B_{2q}[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\Delta_{2q}u = 0$ and $u|_{\Omega_{2q}} = h$. The solution u can be computed through

$$u(z) = \int_{\Omega_{2q}} \mathcal{P}_q(z, \rho) h(\rho) d\omega_{2q}(\rho), \quad z \in B_{2q}[0, 1], \quad (2.16)$$

where $d\omega_{2q}$ denotes the rotation-invariant surface element on Ω_{2q} and Δ_{2q} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator (see Stein [43]).

In fact, using this fact, when f is a continuous function on $B_2[0, 1]$, the coefficients in the series in (2.12) can be written as (see Menegatto and Peron [26]):

$$a_{m,n}^\alpha = \frac{h_{m,n}^\alpha}{\omega_{2q}} \int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(\langle\rho, e_1\rangle) R_{m,n}^\alpha(\langle e_1, \rho\rangle) d\omega_{2q}(\rho), \quad (2.17)$$

where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \Omega_{2q}$.

We give now the representations for the elements of the classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ that were proved by Menegatto and Peron [26, 25] and Guella and Menegatto [18]:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : B_2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. The following assertions are true:*

(1) $f \in \Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ if, and only if,

$$f(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{q-2} R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1], \quad (2.18)$$

where $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{q-2} < \infty$ and $a_{m,n}^{q-2} \geq 0$ for all (m, n) .

(2) $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ if, and only if, $f \in \Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ and

$$\{m-n : a_{m,n}^{q-2} > 0, m, n \geq 0\} \cap (N\mathbb{Z}+j) \neq \emptyset, \quad \text{for every } N \geq 1, j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.19)$$

Note that the index $\alpha = q - 2$ of the disc polynomials is related with the sphere Ω_{2q} and consequently $\alpha + 1 = q - 1$ is related with Ω_{2q+2} .

The coefficients $a_{m,n}^{q-2}$ are the analogue of the d -Schoenberg coefficients a_k^d as in Daley and Porcu [12] and Ziegel [48], referred to the expansion of the members of the Schoenberg class Ψ_d . In analogy, we will call $a_{m,n}^{q-2}$ as $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients.

2.1 Families in the classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$

It is well known that there exist many examples of functions in the class Ψ_d , some of them widely used in applications (see for example Gneiting [16] and Porcu et al. [30]).

In the literature it is also possible to find examples of functions that satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.1, or those in Remark 1.4, and therefore they belong to the classes $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$. Some of them, as well as their use in applications, appeared recently, maybe due to the work of Wünsche [47], that deals with disc polynomials: a fundamental tool for studying the functions in these classes. We give below a collectanea of such functions.

1. Disk Polynomials and related families. The product kernel (Boyd and Raychowdhury [10]),

$$f_{m,n}(z) = z^m \bar{z}^n = \sum_{j=0}^{m \wedge n} c_{q,m,n}^j R_{m-j,n-j}^{q-2}(z), \quad c_{q,m,n}^j \geq 0, \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

is an element of the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$, for each $m, n \geq 0$.

2. Poisson-Szegő kernel and related families. An application of (2.14) and (2.15) shows that

$$f_r(z) := \frac{1}{\omega_{2q}} \frac{(1-r^2)^q}{|1-rz|^{2q}} = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \frac{h_{m,n}^{q-2}}{\omega_{2q}} S_{m,n}^q(r) R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

and hence it is a member of the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$, for each $r \in [0, 1]$.

3. Exponential Function. The function (Menegatto et al. [27])

$$e^{z+\bar{z}} = \sum_{m+n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+1)_{q-2}(n+1)_{q-2}}{(q-2)!} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!(m+n+q-1)_j} \right) R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1].$$

belongs to the class $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$.

4. Aktaş, Taşdelen and Yavuz family. The function (Aktaş et al. [2])

$$f_t(z) := \frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{2}{1-t+R} \right)^{q-2} e^{(2tz)/(1+t+R)} = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} (q-1)_n \frac{t^{m+n}}{m!n!} R_{m+n,n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

where $R := (1 - 2(2|z|^2 - 1)t + t^2)^{1/2}$, is a member of $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$, for each $t \in (0, 1)$.

5. Horn family Let r, R be positive integers such that $4r = (R - 1)^2$. Horn's function H_4 is defined at page 57 of Srivastava and Manocha [42] by

$$H_4(a, b; c, d; x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2m+n}(b)_n}{(c)_m(d)_n} \frac{x^m y^n}{m!n!},$$

where $|x| < r$ and $|y| < R$. An application of Theorem 2.2 in Aktaş et al. [2] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{t,s,b}(z) &:= \frac{1}{(1-s)^{q-1}} H_4 \left(q-1, b; q-1, q-1; \frac{s(|z|^2-1)}{(1-s)^2}, \frac{t\bar{z}}{1-s} \right) \\ &= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} (q+n-1)_m (b)_n \frac{t^n s^m}{m!n!} R_{m,m+n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is a member of $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$, for each b positive integer and t, s positive numbers satisfying

$$|s| < 1, \quad \frac{|s|}{(1-s)^2} < r, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|t|}{1-s} < R.$$

6. Lauricella Family. Let r_1, r_2 and r_3 be positive integers such that $r_1 r_2 = (1 - r_2)(r_2 - r_3)$. Lauricella hypergeometric function of three variables F_{14} (Saran's notation F_F is also used (Saran [32])) is defined by (see page 67 of Srivastava and Manocha [42])

$$F_{14}(a_1, a_1, a_1, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_2; x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+n+p}(b_1)_{m+p}(b_2)_n}{(c_1)_m(c_2)_{n+p}} \frac{x_1^m x_2^n x_3^p}{m!n!p!},$$

where $|x_1| < r_1$, $|x_2| < r_2$ and $|x_3| < r_3$. For $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|s| < r_1$ and $|t| < r_2$, where $r_1 = r_2(1 - r_2)$, define

$$f_{t,s,b}(z) := F_{14}(1, 1, 1, q-1, b, q-1; q-1, 1, 1; s(|z|^2-1), tz, s|z|^2), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1].$$

From Theorem 2.3 in Aktaş et al. [2] we get

$$f_{t,s,b}(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} (q-1)_n (b)_m \frac{t^m s^n}{m!n!} R_{m+n,n}^{q-2}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1].$$

and hence, $f_{t,s,b}$ is a member of $\Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$, for each b positive integer and t, s positive numbers satisfying the relevant conditions above.

Some considerations are in order. Lauricella functions are generalizations of the Gauss hypergeometric functions to multiple variables and were introduced by Lauricella in 1893. Recursion formulas and integral representation for Lauricella functions, including F_{14} (F_F), have been studied and can be found, for example, in Sahai and Verma [31] and Saran [34, 33]. In 1873, Schwarz [41] found a list of 15 cases where hypergeometric functions can be expressed algebraically. More precisely, a list of parameters determining the cases where the hypergeometric differential equation has two independent solutions that are algebraic functions. Between 1989

and 2009 several researchers extended this list: to general one-variable hypergeometric functions ${}_{p+1}F_p$ (Beukers and Heckman [8]), to the Appell-Lauricella functions F_1 and F_D (Beazley Cohen and Wolfart [5]), the Appell functions F_2 and F_4 (Kato [20, 21]), the Horn function G_3 (Schipper [38]). In 2012, Bod [9] extended Schwarz' list to the four classes of Appell-Lauricella functions and the 14 complete Horn functions, including H_4 .

3 Proof of the results

In this section we will first prove some technical lemmas and then we will be able to give the proof of our main results and to present the counterexamples.

The first lemma contains recurrence formulas connecting disc polynomials of different indexes and degrees. They are obtained from Equation (5.5) in Aharmim et al. [1] and the following properties of the disc polynomials:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{R_{m,n}^\alpha(z)} = R_{n,m}^\alpha(z), \\ \overline{\mathcal{D}_z R_{m,n}^\alpha(z)} = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} R_{n,m}^\alpha(z), \end{cases} \quad \alpha > 0, \quad m, n \geq 0, \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

We observe that the normalization adopted in Aharmim et al. [1] for the disc polynomials is different from the one we use here.

Lemma 3.1. *Let m, n be non negative integers and $\alpha > 0$. Then, for any $z \in B_2[0, 1]$, we have*

$$(\alpha + 1)R_{m,n+1}^\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)\bar{z}R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z) - (1 - |z|^2)\mathcal{D}_z R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z), \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$(\alpha + 1)R_{n+1,m}^\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)zR_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) - (1 - |z|^2)\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z). \quad (3.21)$$

Below we prove the most important technical result that we need, which connects the expansion of a continuously derivable function f in terms of the disc polynomials $R_{m,n}^\alpha$ with the expansion of its derivatives in terms of the disc polynomials $R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$.

Since $R_{m,n}^{q-2}$ belongs to $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$ when $q \geq 2$ is an integer, this connection will be the main ingredient in order to obtain the preservation of positive definiteness for the Descente operators, when one walks through dimensions of spheres.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : B_2[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function with derivatives $\mathcal{D}_z f$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f$ being continuous in $B_2[0, 1]$ and let $\alpha > 0$ be a real number. Consider the expansion of f in terms of the disc polynomials $R_{m,n}^\alpha$ and the expansions of $\mathcal{D}_z f$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f$ in terms of the disc polynomials $R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &\sim \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^\alpha R_{m,n}^\alpha(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1], \\ \mathcal{D}_z f(z) &\sim \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f(z) \sim \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} = \frac{(m+1)(n+\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+1)} a_{m+1,n}^{\alpha}, \quad m, n \geq 0,$$

and

$$\tilde{b}_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} = \frac{(n+1)(m+\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+1)} a_{m,n+1}^{\alpha}, \quad m, n \geq 0.$$

It is worth noting that this result is not surprising if we consider the identities obtained in Koornwinder [23]: for $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{D}_z R_{m,n}^{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}(m, n) R_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} R_{m,n}^{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}(n, m) R_{m,n-1}^{\alpha+1}, \quad (3.22)$$

where $c_{\alpha}(m, n) := (m(n+\alpha+1))/(\alpha+1)$. These are, in the complex case, the analogue of the identities for the derivative of the Gegenbauer polynomials (see Szegő [45]).

Actually, Lemma 3.2 shows that the coefficients in the expansions are linked as if the series could be derived term by term.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The coefficients $b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ are given by the formula

$$b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} = h_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \int_{B_2[0,1]} \mathcal{D}_z f(z) \overline{R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z)} d\nu_{\alpha+1}(z),$$

where the constants $h_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ are given in (2.11). Define

$$I := \int_{B_2[0,1]} \mathcal{D}_z f(z) R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) d\nu_{\alpha+1}(z) = \frac{\alpha+2}{\pi} \int_{B_2[0,1]} \mathcal{D}_z f(z) R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) (1-x^2-y^2)^{\alpha+1} dx dy.$$

Integration by parts and direct inspection shows that

$$I = \frac{\alpha+2}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{B_2[0,1]} \mathcal{D}_z [f(z) R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}] dx dy - \int_{B_2[0,1]} f(z) \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} [R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}] dx dy \right\}.$$

Using Green Theorem and relations between the derivatives of a complex function with respect to complex and real variables (Stein and Shakarchi [44, p. 12]) we have

$$\int_{\Omega_2} g(z) d\bar{z} = -2i \int_{B_2[0,1]} \mathcal{D}_z(g)(z) dx dy,$$

for any continuously derivable function g . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{\alpha+2}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \int_{\Omega_2} f(z) R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1} d\bar{z} - \int_{B_2[0,1]} f(z) \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} [R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}] dx dy \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\alpha+2}{\pi} \int_{B_2[0,1]} f(z) \mathcal{D}_z [R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) (1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}] dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by noting that

$$\mathcal{D}_z [R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z)(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1}] = \mathcal{D}_z R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z)(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha+1} - (\alpha+1)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha \bar{z} R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z),$$

we get

$$I = \frac{\alpha+2}{\pi} \int_{B_2[0,1]} f(z)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha [(\alpha+1)\bar{z} R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z) - (1-|z|^2)\mathcal{D}_z R_{n,m}^{\alpha+1}(z)] dx dy.$$

Hence, using Lemma 3.1, we have

$$I = \frac{\alpha+2}{\pi} \int_{B_2[0,1]} f(z)(1-|z|^2)^\alpha (\alpha+1) R_{n,m+1}^\alpha(z) dx dy = (\alpha+2) \int_{B_2[0,1]} f(z) \overline{R_{m+1,n}^\alpha(z)} d\nu_\alpha(z).$$

Thus,

$$b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} = h_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} I = (\alpha+2) h_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{h_{m+1,n}^\alpha} a_{m+1,n}^\alpha.$$

Replacing the values of $h_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ and $h_{m+1,n}^\alpha$ given in Equation (2.11), we obtain

$$b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} = (\alpha+2) \frac{(m+1)(\alpha+n+1)}{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)} a_{m+1,n}^\alpha = \frac{(m+1)(\alpha+n+1)}{(\alpha+1)} a_{m+1,n}^\alpha.$$

The proof for the case of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$ is analogous observing that

$$\int_{\Omega_2} g(z) dz = 2i \int_{B_2[0,1]} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}(g)(z) dx dy.$$

■

The last technical lemma gives a condition for the expansion of a continuous function in terms of the disc polynomials to be uniformly convergent.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $g : B_2[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function and consider its expansion*

$$g(z) \sim \sum_{m,n \geq 0} d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0,1], \quad (3.23)$$

where $d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ are given as in (2.17). If $d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \geq 0$ for all $m, n \geq 0$, then $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} < \infty$. In particular, the series in (3.23) converges uniformly in $B_2[0,1]$.

Proof. The argument is similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 4.1 in Menegatto and Peron [26]. Given $\xi \in \Omega_{2q+2}$, consider the continuous function $h(\rho) := g(\langle \rho, \xi \rangle)$, $\rho \in \Omega_{2q+2}$. By Equation (2.16), the solution of the Dirichlet problem $\Delta_{2q+2} u = 0$ in $B_{2q+2}(0,1)$ with data h , evaluated on the segment $r\xi$, $r \in [0,1]$, is

$$u(r\xi) = \int_{\Omega_{2q+2}} \mathcal{P}_{q+1}(r\xi, \rho) g(\langle \rho, \xi \rangle) d\omega_{2q}(\rho) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} S_{m,n}^{q+1}(r) d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1},$$

where the last equality is obtained from (2.15), (2.17).

Since u is continuous up to the boundary and coincides with h on Ω_{2q+2} , we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{m,n \geq 0} d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} S_{m,n}^{q+1}(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} u(r\xi) = u(\xi) = g(\xi \cdot \xi) = g(1).$$

Now, note that

$$0 \leq \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^l d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} S_{m,n}^{q+1}(r) \leq \sum_{m,n \geq 0} d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} S_{m,n}^{q+1}(r), \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we get

$$0 \leq s_{k,l} := \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{n=0}^l d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \leq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{m,n \geq 0} d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} S_{m,n}^{q+1}(r) = g(1), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Hence, the sequence $\{s_{k,l}\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is bounded and increasing. Thus, the series $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} d_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ is convergent. Using the fact that $|R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in B_2[0, 1]$ and Weierstrass M-Test, the proof is completed. \blacksquare

At this point, we are able to prove our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let f be a function in the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$. Then, by Theorem 2.1-(1),

$$f(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{\alpha} R_{m,n}^{\alpha}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

where $\alpha = q - 2$, $a_{m,n}^{\alpha} \geq 0$, for all $m, n \geq 0$, and $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{\alpha} < \infty$. Consider the expansion in terms of disc polynomials of $\mathcal{D}_z f$:

$$\mathcal{D}_z f(z) \sim \sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1].$$

By Lemma 3.2 and Equation (3.22),

$$b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} = c_{\alpha}(m+1, n) a_{m+1,n}^{\alpha}, \quad m, n \geq 0. \quad (3.24)$$

Roughly speaking, (3.24) means that the coefficients $\{b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}\}$ are obtained from the $\{a_{m,n}^{\alpha}\}$ by suppressing the $a_{0,n}^{\alpha}$, translating in the first index and multiplying by the positive constants $\{c_{\alpha}(m+1, n)\}$.

Then, by Equation (3.22), we have

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_z R_{m,n}^{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{m \geq -1} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{m+1,n}^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_z R_{m+1,n}^{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z).$$

Now, since $c_\alpha(m+1, n)$ are positive constants, we have that $b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \geq 0$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. By Lemma 3.3, the series

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_z R_{m,n}^\alpha(1) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$$

is convergent and the series $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z)$ converges uniformly in $B_2[0, 1]$. It follows, by term by term differentiation theorem, that

$$\mathcal{D}_z f(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z).$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1-(1), $\mathcal{D}_z f$ belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q+2})$.

Similarly, we can conclude the same for the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}}$.

For the item (ii), observe that, as a consequence of (3.24), if the $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients $a_{m,n}^\alpha$ of f satisfy (1.6), then the $(2q+2)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients $b_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ of $\mathcal{D}_z f$ (and similarly for $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f$) satisfy (2.19). Actually, the condition $m, n \geq 1$ in the set considered in (1.6) guarantees that the intersections with the arithmetic progressions in \mathbb{Z} do not depend on the coefficients $a_{m,0}^\alpha$ or $a_{0,n}^\alpha$, which are suppressed by the Descente operators.

The results for $\mathcal{D}_x f$ follow immediately by (1.4). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that f belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q+2})$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$f(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(z), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

where $a_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \geq 0$ for all $m, n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} < \infty$. Since the convergence is uniform in $B_2[0, 1]$, if γ is a path in $B_2[0, 1]$ joining the origin to z , we can integrate term by term. By Eq. (3.22), we have

$$\mathcal{I}(R_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1})(z) = \frac{1}{c_\alpha(m, n)} (R_{m,n}^\alpha(z) - R_{m,n}^\alpha(0)), \quad (3.25)$$

where $R_{n,n}^\alpha(0) = (-1)^n n! \alpha! / (n + \alpha)!$ and $R_{m,n}^\alpha(0) = 0$, $m \neq n$ (Wünsche [47, Equation (2.9)]). Thus,

$$\mathcal{I}(f)(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} a_{m,n}^{\alpha+1} \int_\gamma R_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}(w) dw = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m, n)} (R_{m,n}^\alpha(z) - R_{m,n}^\alpha(0)), \quad z \in B_2[0, 1]. \quad (3.26)$$

Since $c_\alpha(m, n) \geq 1$ for all $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 0$ and $|R_{m,n}^\alpha(0)| \leq 1$, for all m, n , we have that the series

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m, n)} \quad \text{and} \quad c := \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m, n)} R_{m,n}^\alpha(0)$$

are convergent. Furthermore, since

$$\left| \frac{a_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m, n)} (R_{m,n}^\alpha(z) - R_{m,n}^\alpha(0)) \right| \leq 2 \frac{a_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m, n)}, \quad m, n \geq 0, \quad z \in B_2[0, 1],$$

the series in (3.26) converges uniformly in $B_2[0, 1]$. We can now write

$$\mathcal{I}(f)(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^\alpha R_{m,n}^\alpha(z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,0}^\alpha &:= -c \\ b_{0,n}^\alpha &:= 0, \quad n \geq 1, \\ b_{m,n}^\alpha &:= \frac{a_{m-1,n}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m,n)}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} b_{m,n}^\alpha < \infty$. Now we can write

$$c + \mathcal{I}f(z) = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \widehat{b}_{m,n}^\alpha R_{m,n}^\alpha(z),$$

where

$$\widehat{b}_{0,0}^\alpha := 0, \quad \widehat{b}_{0,n}^\alpha := b_{0,n}^\alpha \quad (n \geq 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{b}_{m,n}^\alpha := b_{m,n}^\alpha \quad (m \geq 1, n \geq 0) \tag{3.28}$$

are nonnegative constants and $\sum_{m,n \geq 0} \widehat{b}_{m,n}^\alpha < \infty$.

Equations (3.27) and (3.28) mean that the coefficients $\{\widehat{b}_{m,n}^\alpha\}$ are obtained from the $\{a_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}\}$, by translating in the first index, adding the new coefficients $\widehat{b}_{0,n}^\alpha = 0$, and dividing by the positive constants $\{c_\alpha(m,n)\}$.

Hence, applying Theorem 2.1-(1) again, we have that $c + \mathcal{I}f$ belongs to the class $\Psi(\Omega_{2q})$.

For the item (ii), it is enough to observe that the $(2q+2)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients $a_{m,n}^{\alpha+1}$ of f satisfy (2.19) by the assumption $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$, then, as a consequence of (3.27-3.28), also the $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients $\widehat{b}_{m,n}^\alpha$ of $c + \mathcal{I}f$ satisfy (2.19), implying $c + \mathcal{I}f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$.

For the operator $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$, one uses the relation

$$\overline{\mathcal{I}}(R_{m,n-1}^{\alpha+1})(z) = \frac{1}{c_\alpha(n,m)} (R_{m,n}^\alpha(z) - R_{m,n}^\alpha(0)),$$

and follows the same arguments. In fact, the $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients of $C + \overline{\mathcal{I}}f$ are given by

$$\check{b}_{0,0}^\alpha := C - \sum_{\mu \geq 1} \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \frac{a_{\mu,\nu-1}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(\nu,\mu)} R_{\mu,\nu}^\alpha(0), \quad \check{b}_{m,0}^\alpha := 0 \quad (m \geq 1), \quad \check{b}_{m,n}^\alpha := \frac{a_{m,n-1}^{\alpha+1}}{c_\alpha(m,n)} \quad (m \geq 0, n \geq 1).$$

■

Proof of Counterexample 1.3. Let us denote by $a_{m,n}^{q-2}(g)$ the $(2q)$ -complex Schoenberg coefficients of a function g . Theorem 2.1-(2) is required.

(i) For a function f as in the statement, we have $\mathcal{D}_x f = \mathcal{D}_z f$ and

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-1}(\mathcal{D}_z f) > 0\} = \{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2}(f) > 0\} = \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Hence the above set intercepts every arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} , that is $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$ and $\mathcal{D}_z f, \mathcal{D}_x f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$. However, $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f \equiv 0$, so that $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f \notin \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$.

(ii) Analogous to (i).

(iii) For a function f as in the statement, we have

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-2}(f) > 0, m, n \geq 0\} = \left(\bigcup_{j=2}^5 5\mathbb{Z}_+ + j \right) \cup (-5\mathbb{Z}_+ - 4),$$

which intercepts every arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} and then $f \in \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q})$. However

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-1}(\mathcal{D}_z f) > 0, m, n \geq 0\} = \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus 5\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-1}(\mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f) > 0, m, n \geq 0\} = -5\mathbb{Z}_+ - 3,$$

that is, $\mathcal{D}_z f, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{z}} f \notin \Psi^+(\Omega_{2q+2})$. To see that $\mathcal{D}_x f \notin \Psi(\Omega_{2q+2})$, note that $\{m - n : a_{m,n}^{q-1}(\mathcal{D}_x f) > 0, m, n \geq 0\}$ is the union of the previous two sets, so it does not intersect the progression $5\mathbb{Z}$. ■

Acknowledgement

Eugenio Massa was supported by: grant #2014/25398-0, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) and grant #308354/2014-1, CNPq/Brazil.

Ana P. Peron was supported by: grants #2016/03015-7 and #2014/25796-5, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP).

References

- [1] B. Aharmim, E. H. Amal, E. W. Fouzia, and A. Ghanmi. “Generalized Zernike polynomials: operational formulae and generating functions”. In: *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 26.6 (2015), pp. 395–410.
- [2] R. Aktaş, F. Taşdelen, and N. Yavuz. “Bilateral and bilinear generating functions for the generalized Zernike or disc polynomials”. In: *Ars Combin.* 111 (2013), pp. 389–400.
- [3] R. K. Beatson and W. zu Castell. “Dimension hopping and families of strictly positive definite zonal basis functions on spheres”. In: *arXiv:1510.08658* (2015).

- [4] R. K. Beatson and W. zu Castell. “One-step recurrences for stationary random fields on the sphere”. In: *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* 12 (2016), 043, 19 pages.
- [5] P. Beazley Cohen and J. Wolfart. “Algebraic Appell-Lauricella functions”. In: *Analysis* 12.3-4 (1992), pp. 359–376.
- [6] C. Berg. “Stieltjes-Pick-Bernstein-Schoenberg and their connection to complete monotonicity”. In: *Positive Definite Functions: From Schoenberg to Space-Time challenges*. J. Mateu and E. Porcu eds. Castellon de la Plana (2008), pp. 15–45.
- [7] C. Berg and E. Porcu. “From Schoenberg coefficients to coefficients to Schoenberg functions”. In: *Constr. Approx.* (2016), to appear.
- [8] F. Beukers and G. Heckman. “Monodromy for the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}$ ”. In: *Invent. Math.* 95.2 (1989), pp. 325–354.
- [9] E. Bod. “Algebraicity of the Appell-Lauricella and Horn hypergeometric functions”. In: *J. Differential Equations* 252.1 (2012), pp. 541–566.
- [10] J. N. Boyd and P. N. Raychowdhury. “Zonal harmonic functions from two-dimensional analogs of Jacobi polynomials”. In: *Applicable Anal.* 16.3 (1983), pp. 243–259.
- [11] D. Chen, V. A. Menegatto, and X. Sun. “A necessary and sufficient condition for strictly positive definite functions on spheres”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131.9 (2003), 2733–2740 (electronic).
- [12] D. Daley and E. Porcu. “Dimension walks and Schoenberg spectral measures”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 142.1 (2014), pp. 1813–1824.
- [13] B. Dresler and R. Hrach. “Summability of Fourier expansions in terms of disc polynomials”. In: *Functions, series, operators, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1980)*. Vol. 35. Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, pp. 375–384.
- [14] G. E. Fasshauer. “Positive definite kernels: Past, Present and Future”. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Kernel Functions and Meshless Methods*. Vol. 4. Gotingen: Dolomites Research Notes on Approximation, 2011, pp. 21–63.
- [15] G. B. Folland. “Spherical harmonic expansion of the Poisson-Szegő kernel for the ball”. In: *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 47 (1975), pp. 401–408.
- [16] T. Gneiting. “Strictly and non-strictly positive definite functions on spheres”. In: *Bernoulli* 19.4 (2013), pp. 1327–1349.
- [17] T. Gneiting. “Compactly Supported Correlation Functions”. In: *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 83.2 (2002), pp. 493–508.
- [18] J. C. Guella and V. A. Menegatto. “Unitarily invariant strictly positive definite kernels on spheres”. In: *preprint* (2016).
- [19] J. C. Guella, V. A. Menegatto, and A. P. Peron. “An extension of a theorem of Schoenberg to products of spheres”. In: *Collect. Math.* (2016), to appear.

- [20] M. Kato. “Appell’s F_4 with finite irreducible monodromy group”. In: *Kyushu J. Math.* 51.1 (1997), pp. 125–147.
- [21] M. Kato. “Appell’s hypergeometric systems F_2 with finite irreducible monodromy groups”. In: *Kyushu J. Math.* 54.2 (2000), pp. 279–305.
- [22] T. H. Koornwinder. *The addition formula for Jacobi Polynomials II. The Laplace type integral representation and the product formula*. Report TW133. Math. Centrum Amsterdam, 1972.
- [23] T. H. Koornwinder. *The addition formula for Jacobi Polynomials III. Completion of the proof*. Report TW135. Math. Centrum Amsterdam, 1972.
- [24] G. Matheron. “Les Variables Régionalisées et leur Estimation”. In: *Masson, Paris* (1965).
- [25] V. A. Menegatto and A. P. Peron. “A complex approach to strict positive definiteness on spheres”. In: *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* 11.4 (2001), pp. 377–396.
- [26] V. A. Menegatto and A. P. Peron. “Positive definite kernels on complex spheres”. In: *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 254.1 (2001), pp. 219–232.
- [27] V. A. Menegatto, A. P. Peron, and C. P. Oliveira. “On the construction of uniformly convergent disk polynomial expansions”. In: *Collect. Math.* 62.2 (2011), pp. 151–159.
- [28] E. Porcu, V. Zastavnyi, and M. Bevilacqua. “Buhmann covariance functions, their compact supports, and their smoothness”. In: *Arxiv manuscript* (2016).
- [29] E. Porcu and V. Zastavnyi. “Generalized Askey functions and their walks through dimensions”. In: *Expo. Math.* 32.2 (2014), pp. 190–198.
- [30] E. Porcu, M. Bevilacqua, and M. G. Genton. “Spatio-Temporal Covariance and Cross-Covariance Functions of the Great Circle Distance on a Sphere”. In: *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 111.514 (2016), pp. 888–898.
- [31] V. Sahai and A. Verma. “Recursion formulas for multivariable hypergeometric functions”. In: *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* 8.4 (2015), pp. 1550082, 50.
- [32] S. Saran. “Hypergeometric functions of three variables”. In: *Ganita* 5 (1954), 77–91 (1955).
- [33] S. Saran. “Integral representations of Laplace type for certain hypergeometric functions of three variables”. In: *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma* 8 (1957), pp. 133–143.
- [34] S. Saran. “Integrals associated with hypergeometric functions of three variables”. In: *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India. Part A.* 21 (1955), pp. 83–90.
- [35] R. Schaback. “A unified theory of radial basis functions. Native Hilbert spaces for radial basis functions. II”. In: *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 121.1-2 (2000). Numerical analysis in the 20th century, Vol. I, Approximation theory, pp. 165–177.
- [36] R. Schaback. “Native Hilbert spaces for radial basis functions. I”. In: *New developments in approximation theory (Dortmund, 1998)*. Vol. 132. Internat. Ser. Numer. Math. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999, pp. 255–282.
- [37] R. Schaback. “The missing Wendland functions”. In: *Advances in Computational Mathematics* 34.1 (2011), pp. 67–81.

- [38] J. H. Schipper. “On the algebraicity of GKZ-hypergeometric functions defined by a (hyper)-cuboid”. In: *Bachelor’s thesis, Utrecht University* (2009).
- [39] I. J. Schoenberg. “Metric spaces and completely monotone functions”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 39.4 (1938), pp. 811–841.
- [40] I. J. Schoenberg. “Positive definite functions on spheres”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 9 (1942), pp. 96–108.
- [41] H. A. Schwarz. “Ueber diejenigen Fälle, in welchen die Gaussische hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt”. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 75 (1873), pp. 292–335.
- [42] H. M. Srivastava and H. L. Manocha. *A treatise on generating functions*. Ellis Horwood Series: Mathematics and its Applications. Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester; Halsted Press (John Wiley and Sons, Inc.), New York, 1984, p. 569.
- [43] E. M. Stein. *Boundary behavior of holomorphic functions of several complex variables*. Mathematical Notes, No. 11. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1972, pp. x+72.
- [44] E. M. Stein and R. Shakarchi. *Complex analysis*. Princeton Lectures in Analysis, II. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003, pp. xviii+379.
- [45] G. Szegő. *Orthogonal polynomials*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 23. Revised ed. Providence, R.I.: American Mathematical Society, 1959, pp. ix+421.
- [46] H. Wendland. “Piecewise polynomial, positive definite and compactly supported radial functions of minimal degree”. In: *Adv. Comput. Math.* 4.4 (1995), pp. 389–396.
- [47] A. Wünsche. “Generalized Zernike or disc polynomials”. In: *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 174.1 (2005), pp. 135–163.
- [48] J. Ziegel. “Convolution Roots and Differentiability of Positive Definite Functions on Spheres”. In: *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 142.6 (2014), pp. 2063–2077.